## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JOHN GACHAGO,	)	
Plaintiff	í	
1 IUMAII	)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
v	)	
	)	05-10141-RGS
BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB, and	)	
AMERICAN EXPRESS,	)	
Defendants	)	
	)	

### **AMENDED PLEADINGS**

### **COMPLAINT - CAUSE OF ACTION**

- I. Breach of Contract
- II. Violation of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealings
- III. Intentional Interference with a contract
- IV. Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 U.S.C §1681 et seq. and M.G.L.c.93 §54
- V. Intentional Interference with an Employment Relationship

### STATEMENT OF FACTS

- The Plaintiff, JOHN GACHAGO (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "Gachago") lives at 21 Linden Park Drive, Randolph, MA 02368 and was employed as a Territory Business Manager for Bristol Myers Squibb in the S E Massachusetts territory from May 2000 until May 3<sup>rd</sup> 2004.
- 2. The Defendants Bristol Myers Squibb (hereinafter "BMS" or "Company") a corporation duly organized under the laws of the state of Delaware and American Express Company (hereinafter "AMEX") organized under the laws of the state of New York and whose usual places of doing business respectively are 200 Vessey



- Street, New York, NY 10154 and 345 Park Ave, New York, NY 10154 and whose agent in common the state of Massachusetts is CT Corporation System located at 101 Federal Street Boston MA 02110.
- 3. The job description of Gachago as a Territory Business Manager was to call on approximately ten physicians a day to encourage them to prescribe from the provided portfolio of BMS prescription drugs. Gachago was evaluated based on the effective and exhaustive use of his promotional budget and the resulting prescription volume growth.
- 4. Gachago was provided a monthly budget which varied from \$5,000 to \$8,000 a month. The budgeted monies were used to schedule and attend lunches and dinner entertainment that functioned as promotional /educational events for the physicians in his SE Massachusetts Territory.
- 5. In addition to the promotional budget, BMS paid for all operating expenses such as but not limited to stationary, fuel, cell phone, and high speed internet access. The company also provided a laptop computer and a company vehicle along with the corresponding insurance coverage. Submitted expense reports ranged between \$750 to \$2500 or more per month.
- 6. After approximately two years of employment, BMS required Plaintiff to sign an "American Express Corporate Charge Card Program" agreement (hereafter "BMS Credit Agreement" or "Exhibit 1"). Plaintiff had to sign this agreement in order for an American Express card to be released to him. On July 8, 2002, Gachago signed the agreement and was issued an American Express Corporate Card that had both his name and Bristol-Meyers Squibb inscribed on it.

- Related Services Company, Inc." (hereinafter AMEX Credit Agreement" or "Exhibit 2.")
- 12. Section 2 of the AMEX Credit Agreement states: "You agree to use the Corporate Card solely for commercial business purposes in accordance with Company policy." (The "Company policy" as referenced in Exhibit 1) Furthermore under section 5 outlining liability, it reads: "You as the Corporate Cardmember are responsible for all Charges billed to your account. All business Charges are to be reported to the Company for expense report processing in accordance with Company policy. All business Charges billed to the Corporate Card which are reimbursable by the Company will be paid by you or paid directly by the Company."
- 13. Plaintiff contends that during the term of his employment, approximately 90-95% of each AMEX bill was paid directly to AMEX by BMS.
- 14. AMEX Credit Agreement states in section 6: "Payment for all Charges is due immediately upon receipt of the billing." To determine if a payment was late, AMEX provided a "closing date" with each statement "which is the cutoff date we determine for including Charges and payments for that billing period." (Exhibit 2, paragraph 7 "Late Fees") Additionally, "If any amount totaling more than \$35.00 is unpaid for two billing periods, then the late fee will be the greater of \$29 or 2.75% of all amounts unpaid for at least one billing period." Payments for three or more billing periods had an additional penalty charge.
- 15. Plaintiff has no recollection of any late charges or fees assessed against the Corporate card during his four years of employment with BMS.

- 16. As a service, AMEX offered in paragraph 8: "If you have any questions, problems, or disputes concerning the monthly statement, you should contact us immediately and we will take all reasonable and appropriate steps to provide the information you request or to resolve your dispute."
- 17. As per Company policy, Gachago submitted expense reports on a monthly basis. The procedure included reviewing the American Express bill each month and "signing off" on the business expenses by submitting his expense report to BMS. Although a hard copy was mailed to Plaintiff, AMEX and BMS offered on-line services. In accordance with accepted procedure, Gachago downloaded, audited, and submitted his expense report on-line on the company provided computer.
- 18. Plaintiff asserts that almost every monthly AMEX bill showed a balance forward. As proof, Gachago offers the only bills that he has access to (see Exhibit 3) in particular February, March, April, and May 2004 which show a fluctuating balance starting at \$947.67 and ending at \$1,595.47 with a high of \$2,244.36.
- 19. The AMEX amount due varied each month and Gachago believes that the balance forward was caused by the non-coordinated billing among Gachago's expense report filings, AMEX billing cycles and BMS payment system. On a couple of occasions over the previous two years, AMEX called Gachago directly questioning the late payments. In all cases, the difference in billing cycles seemed to explain the tardiness. No additional payment to AMEX was ever required by Plaintiff.

- 20. If payment had been past due to AMEX, then based on the AMEX Credit Agreement clauses 6 and 7 (Exhibit 2), Plaintiff would have been assessed late fees. Plaintiff has no record of late fees until after leaving the employ of BMS.
- 21. On or about the fall of 2002, District Sales Manager Colleen Davis questioned the amount of gasoline charges on Gachago's expense report. The amounts in question were clarified by Ms. Davis and Region Business Director Keith Spiers without additional payment by Gachago. Other than that one discussion, Plaintiff believes that all expense accounts were accepted by the Company as submitted.
- 22. On April 27<sup>th</sup> 2004, Plaintiff gave BMS a 4-week notice of resignation. Rather than wait the four weeks or even the customary two week period, Gachago was asked to complete a final expense report and make arrangements to return all company material for a final close out by May 3<sup>rd</sup> 2004.
- 23. On or about April 30, 2005, Plaintiff submitted his final expense report for \$793.63. Based on this expense report, BMS paid \$635.17 directly to AMEX for credit card charges and \$158.46 to Gachago for business expenses made with personal monies.
- 24. On May 3, 2004, Gachago delivered the company car, computer and other company materials to Joe Deluca (acting District Sales Manager for BMS) at the Providence Marriott in Rhode Island for the final close out. Joe Deluca completed a checklist which included the completed final expense report confirming that all company property had been returned and the Plaintiff could be "closed out" i.e., Gachago's employment with and responsibilities to BMS were complete.

- 25. At no time, neither upon submission of his final expense report nor during the "close out" procedure did BMS inform Plaintiff that there were any un-reconciled expense reports or any balance due.
- 26. On or about May 9, 2004, Gachago took a job with Astra Zeneca doing substantively the same job as he was doing with BMS.
- 27. On or about May 10, 2004, Gachago received his final paycheck and severance package from BMS with payment in full, no deductions.
- 28. On or about June 1, 2004, Plaintiff received his final performance bonus check from BMS, with payment in full, no deductions.
- 29. On or about July 19, 2004, Gachago received a past due notice from American Express for \$1,115.90 (which included \$32.40 in new charges). Plaintiff believed this amount was indicative of the uncoordinated or late payments by BMS to AMEX as had been the case on all previous occasions and he did not feel any action on his part was due at that time.
- 30. Plaintiff had no other contact with BMS or AMEX until Plaintiff returned from a three week vacation at the end of August and found a message on his answering machine from AMEX regarding a balance due on the Corporate card.
- 31. Starting August 27, 2004, Plaintiff made numerous phone calls to AMEX and BMS and sent many emails to BMS in an attempt to get BMS to acknowledge liability and to pay the outstanding charges.
- 32. On or about, September 1, 2005 Plaintiff took two physicians out to dinner, but when he went to pay with the AMEX Corporate card issued by his new employer,

- Astra Zeneca, he discovered that a hold had been placed on that card and he was forced to pay for the meal with personal monies.
- 33. On September 2, 2005 the Travel Card Administrator for BMS sent Gachago a document "TE - Travel Card Administrative - External Procedure." (Hereinafter "Audit Procedure" or Exhibit 4) so that Gachago could reconcile the past due balance of \$1,149 and BMS could then pay any monies due to AMEX.
- 34. On September 3, after many frustrating attempts to get the liability properly assigned to BMS and to get the hold taken off his new Astra Zeneca Corporate credit card, and after Sandy at AMEX told Gachago that she would not initiate contact with BMS, Cathy Everk from BMS finally contacted AMEX and "let them know of the situation." (See Exhibit 5, page 1) Shortly thereafter, AMEX took the hold off his new AMEX corporate card with Astra Zeneca
- 35. Because BMS sent Gachago the forms to reconcile past expense reports, and because BMS contacted AMEX to get the "hold" taken off his new card, Plaintiff believed that BMS, with the understanding of AMEX, was taking responsibility for the past due amount and Plaintiff would be liable to BMS for any nonbusiness charges.
- 36. The Audit Procedure (Exhibit 4) allowed BMS to not only audit terminated employees, but also "active employees with credits" and those with "suspected abuse." As a consequence, the procedure states, "If the audit concludes there is abuse on the corporate card, your manager will be notified and the account suspended. Human Resources will be notified depending upon the extent of the abuse."

- 37. The two page audit procedure form has eleven check points to audit one's own expense reports and Plaintiff was asked to review two years of expense reports to see which charges had not been appropriate for expense report submission. Gachago's last date of employment was May 3, 2004, however rather than send Gachago the last twelve months, BMS sent Plaintiff expense reports dated from Jan 2001 to May 2003.
- 38. Plaintiff was outraged that he was expected to reconcile expense reports that dates back over three years. Additionally, since Plaintiff believed that all his expense reports had been submitted correctly, he did attempt the audit procedure and did not submit an audited expense report.
- 39. Plaintiff asserts that BMS had the procedures in place and that he relied on those procedures to promptly audit his expense reports, to reprimand him if necessary, to deduct from his pay, and/or to suspend his BMS Corporate Card if there were any outstanding disputed charges.
- 40. Plaintiff asserts that AMEX had the procedures in place and that he relied on those procedures to promptly audit his credit card statements for past due balances and to call him on the phone as they had done in the past, to assess late charges as the AMEX Credit Agreement outlines, or to put a hold on his BMS Corporate Card.
- 41. In October 2004, Gachago began to get demand notices from GC Services Limited Partnership for \$1,219.02 owed to American Express. On October 30, 2004 Plaintiff sent a "cease and desist" letter reiterating his belief that payment

- should be demanded from BMS not John Gachago personally. GC Services immediately stopped collection proceeding and returned the matter to AMEX.
- 42. On or around November 25, 2004, the Plaintiff applied to refinance his home so he could remove \$70,000 in equity so he could 1) put a down payment on a new construction home in Florida for June relocation, and so he could 2) consummate a Foreign Real Estate Development Investment in his homeland of Kenya (hereinafter "Foreign Investment"). It was only at that time Gachago learned that AMEX had reported the \$1,219 in unpaid charges to the consumer reporting agencies.
- 43. As a consequence of his lowered credit rating, the mortgage company denied his application for refinance. Absent the equity money for the down-payment, Gachago was not able to make the anticipated purchase at pre-construction prices. The anticipated equity lost in purchasing the home at pre-construction prices versus the value once built is approximately \$35,000.
- 44. Similarly, Gachago has been unable to proceed with his commitment on the Foreign Investment. In addition to the \$7,500 already committed to this venture, Plaintiff stands to lose \$378,000 in potential profits. Additionally, Gachago may face legal consequences from his unexpected withdraw from the Foreign Investment.
- 45. Gachago has also been denied credit for office furniture and business equipment.
- 46. On or about November 4, 2005, Plaintiff did buy a (less desirable) home in Florida for pending relocation but due to his poor credit rating, he had to accept a 30 year "228 variable mortgage" at the rate of 6.9%. If Plaintiff's credit was not

- marred by the disputed AMEX charges, he believes that he would have received a 4.5% fixed rate. Without calculating the inevitable variable rate increases, the poor credit rating will cost Plaintiff \$155,865 over the term of the loan.
- 47. In December 2004, Plaintiff filed a Complaint pro se in Quincy District Court.
- 48. On or about January 24, 2005, Defendants removed this complaint to United States District Court.
- 49. From March 10 to March 26, 2005, despite they fact that litigation had commenced, AMEX called Plaintiff five (5) times and demanded payment for the \$1,219 amount in dispute.
- 50. Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer financial consequences and considerable pain and suffering in trying to settle this matter.

### COUNT I - BREACH OF CONTRACT – BRISTOL-MEYERS SQUIBB

- 51. On July 8, 2002, Plaintiff signed a Company Credit Agreement (Exhibit 1). He was required to sign the agreement in order to get the only Corporate credit card available, the AMEX Corporate card. The "take it or leave it" and pre-set conditions on this agreement made this a contract of adhesion and as a consequence, all ambiguities should be decided in favor of the non-drafting and disadvantaged party: Mr. Gachago.
- 52. For the two years prior and two years after signing the Company Credit Agreement, Plaintiff followed established procedure and submitted monthly expense reports. Each month, both Gachago and BMS paid AMEX directly; over 90% of each AMEX bill was paid directly by BMS.

- 53. BMS had Gachago's District Sales Manager review and approve each expense report. Similarly, the Auditing Procedure states that if there "is abuse on the corporate card, your manager will be notified and the account suspended. Human Resources will be notified depending on the extent of the abuse."
- 54. Plaintiff's expense report was only questioned once and that was almost two years ago and Gachago was never disciplined for any suspected violation on his expense account.
- 55. On May 3, 2004 Plaintiff returned all BMS property and his final expense report. According to standard BMS procedure, Plaintiff obligation to BMS ended and he was "closed out."
- 56. In addition to relying on the "close out" check list, the Company Credit Agreement provides that the "applicant agrees that Bristol-Meyers Squibb may withhold an amount equal to such charges from applicant's pay check, bonus, and/or severance payment."
- 57. Gachago's final expense report was approved in full and he received both his final pay and his bonus check. Plaintiff, like any reasonable person, believed that his expense account was in order and his obligation to BMS was complete.
- 58. BMS breached both the explicit Company Credit Agreement and the implicit monthly expenses report payment procedures established as a course of business.
- 59. BMS should be liable for the foreseeable consequences of their actions. Gachago believes BMS acted willfully to reach beyond the employment relationship; however even if the breach was due to negligence, BMS is liable. An employee,

Gachago, cannot be expected to contractually assume the risk of subsequent negligence on the part of his employer, BMS.

### COUNT I - BREACH OF CONTRACT - AMERICAN EXPRESS

- 60. To receive a BMS Corporate AMEX card, Gachago had to sign both the Corporate Credit Agreement (Exhibit 1) and an AMEX Credit Agreement (Exhibit 2). Plaintiff had to sign the agreement or cut his card in half, this was a contract of adhesion and as a consequence, all ambiguities should be decided in favor of the non-drafting and disadvantaged party: Mr. Gachago.
- 61. The AMEX Credit Policy required that the card be used solely for commercial business purpose in accordance with Company policy. Similarly, in the section on liability, AMEX reiterates the requirement that Gachago process his expense report in accord with Company policy and that "all business Charges billed to the Corporate Card which are reimbursable by the Company will be paid by you or paid directly by the Company."
- 62. This cited "liability" provision of the AMEX Credit Agreement allows for business Charges to be paid by the Company or the individual card holder. In Plaintiff's case, the record of payment indicates that over 90% of each bill was paid by BMS and a reasonable person would reasonably foresee BMS to be liable for 90% of any outstanding balance.
- 63. Since the Corporate Credit Policy stated that Gachago would be liable to the Company for non-business charges and since AMEX referenced this policy, AMEX must have had knowledge of this employee to employer liability. This knowledge coupled with an explicit payment history demonstrating that the vast

- majority of the charges were for business purposes, AMEX knew or should have that BMS would be paying the overwhelming share of this overdue balance and if there were any non-business expenses Gachago would be liable to the company for any inappropriate charges. Consequently, a reasonable debt collector would have held BMS (at least jointly) liable for any unpaid balance.
- 64. There was always an outstanding balance on Plaintiff's Corporate Card. Plaintiff believed these balances were due uncoordinated billing cycles and periodic conversations with AMEX about late payments reinforced this understanding.
- 65. AMEX had monthly accounting procedures and had a set policy for assessing late fees after two billing cycles. During the term of his contract with AMEX, Plaintiff was never charged late fees as would have been required if an outstanding balance was due. Since the billing practices of AMEX (and the payment practices of BMS) were outside his control, Plaintiff relied on the standard business practices of AMEX and absent specific notice communication, he had reason to believe that as to his liability on the account, it was paid in full.
- 66. In section 8 of the AMEX Credit Agreement AMEX offers to take all reasonable and appropriate steps to resolve the Cardholder's dispute; however when Plaintiff contacted AMEX about the liability for the unpaid balance, they refused to contact BMS to clear up the matter. Then when BMS contacted AMEX, the hold was taken off Plaintiff's new card but instead the delinquency was reported to the consumer reporting agencies unbeknownst to Gachago. Even after litigation commenced, AMEX contacted Plaintiff by phone five times demanding payment.

- 67. AMEX breached both the explicit Company Credit Agreement by not promptly taking action on any unpaid balance until Plaintiff left BMS and the implicit contract of accepting delinquent payments from BMS such as to make the monthly statements meaningless and convincing the Plaintiff that his account was in good standing. In opposition to the contracts and the established payment procedure, AMEX held only the Plaintiff liable for the outstanding balance.
- 68. Defendant AMEX may argue that it was following accepted collection procedures by reporting the unpaid debt to the consumer reporting agencies, however, after two years of complete disregard to standard procedure, AMEX should be foreclosed from any defense of "standard collection practice." Once the explicit contract is breached, it cannot be relied upon as a defense.
- 69. AMEX should be liable for the foreseeable consequences of their actions and the damage caused by Plaintiff's tarnished credit rating. Gachago believes that rather than upset BMS, AMEX acted willfully to pursue collection against the disfavored joint liability cardholder, Mr. Gachago. Even if the breach was due to negligence, the disadvantaged party in a contract of adhesion should not be held liable for the negligence of the party that drafted it and who established the accepted procedures upon which the Plaintiff came to rely.

# COUNT II – VIOLATION OF IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALINGS - BMS and AMEX

- 70. All contracts have the implied covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealings.
- 71. After a four year mutually beneficial relationship, Plaintiff offered BMS a generous four weeks notice. BMS choose to terminate the employment

- relationship within one week and as required Gachago returned all company property and his last expense report in a timely manner.
- 72. In accord, BMS reimbursed Gachago for his last expense report, paid him his final paycheck, and two weeks later, paid him his final bonus check. By all accounts, Plaintiff believed that he had acted properly in his business dealing with BMS.
- 73. Similarly Gachago used the AMEX Corporate Card as his exclusive business credit card. He processed monthly statement as required by Company policy and was never assessed late charges or had his card privileges suspended in any fashion.
- 74. However, it was not until after leaving the employ of BMS that the Company became uncooperative: BMS refused to provide him specific details about unpaid charges, BMS sent him antiquated expense reports that would make it impossible to determine a recent non-business expense if there had been one, and even told Plaintiff to not contact his immediate supervisor, Joe DeLuca, even though Mr. DeLuca was the one who had the authority to accept or reject Gachago's expense reports for the previous three months.
- 75. BMS had the opportunity to inform Gachago about any disputed charges at any time before his resignation; similarly, there were procedures in place that would have allowed a prompt resolution to these disputed charges; instead BMS choose to not pay AMEX with no prior notice to Plaintiff and then made it extremely difficult post-employment to explain and/or to remove the charges from his personal record.

- 76. Plaintiff was led to believe that all past due balances on his AMEX card were due to the uncoordinated billing cycle that existed between the AMEX statement, the submission of the expense reports, and payment by BMS. AMEX did not follow any standard procedure nor did they make any effort to notify Plaintiff concerning an outstanding balance prior to his discharge from BMS.
- 77. AMEX contractually promised to "take all reasonable and appropriate steps" to resolve any dispute but when Plaintiff denied liability for the delinquent charges, AMEX refused to contact BMS to resolve the matter.
- 78. Since the initiation of litigation, Plaintiff has learned of two other Territory Business Managers, David Tetto and Wesley Echols, who were also held liable for unpaid non-business expenses that they had no knowledge of prior to their unemployment from BMS.
- 79. Defendants BMS, AMEX, and Gachago had a fruitful employment and billing relationship. All parties benefited financially from their relationship. However the defendants have chosen to reach beyond the employment relationship and outside the terms of their contractual agreements to cause Plaintiff to suffer financial injury and emotional distress. AMEX and BMS used their superior position to question Plaintiff's integrity and damage his personal credit rating; these actions violate the covenant of good faith and fair dealings that Mr. Gachago had relied upon during the term of these contracts.

### **COUNT III – INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH A CONTRACT –BMS**

80. The elements of Intentional Interference with a Contract are met: 1) Plaintiff had a contract with AMEX (and BMS) to use the Corporate American Express Card

for both business and personal expenses. As part of that contract, AMEX bills were mailed directly to Plaintiff's home; 2) BMS knew Plaintiff had a contractual obligation to AMEX since they required Plaintiff to be jointly liable; 3) BMS induced AMEX to break the contract; and 4) Plaintiff incurred financial damage as a result of the disputed balance being applied to his personal credit report.

- 81. Whether directly or indirectly, BMS induced AMEX to break their contract obligations to Gachago. BMS provides hundreds of its employees with AMEX Corporate Cards and these employees often charge thousands of dollars a month; this is a fruitful relationship for American Express.
- 82. AMEX knew that BMS approved every expense report monthly and AMEX had to accept the "in arrears" billing payment practice of BMS. By inducing AMEX to abandon their standard late fee procedure, Territory Business Managers, like Gachago were unaware of any (two year old) overdue balances and thus AMEX did not fulfill its contractual obligation to "shut off" Gachago's card privileges.
- 83. BMS disregarded its own audit procedures and collection options and expected AMEX to pursue Plaintiff and not BMS despite Plaintiff's contractual liability to BMS for all non-business expenses. (See Exhibit 1)
- 84. When this disputed liability became know to the Plaintiff, he requested that Ms. Everk, the BMS credit card administrator, contact AMEX to explain that the disputed amount was BMS' responsibility and to ask that the hold be taken off his current Amex card. By email MS. Everk told Gachago that she contacted AMEX "to let them know of the situation." As a result, the hold was taken off Gachago's new American Express card. At this point Plaintiff believed that the disputed

- charges were properly re-classified as the responsibility of BMS. Unbeknownst to Plaintiff, AMEX forwarded a notice of default concerning the disputed charges to the consumer reporting agencies.
- 85. The communication between BMS and AMEX demonstrates that BMS induced AMEX to abandon their standard collection procedures. This illustrates an explicit communication from BMS to AMEX and a conscious decision to act and not just a mechanical bill collection procedure.
- 86. There is no legitimate business reason why BMS would ignore their contractual employment procedures to collect any monies due as opposed to inducing AMEX to breach its contract and attach liability to that employee's personal credit report and thus BMS' actions imply an improper motive.

### COUNT IV - VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT 15 U.S.C § 1681 ET SEQ. and M.G.L. c.93 § 54

- 87. Plaintiff acknowledges that all the charges in question were for business purposes. Whereas the Fair Credit Reporting Act and the Fair Credit Billing Act were enacted to protect consumers, many of the protections of the Acts apply only to consumer transactions. However it seems incomprehensible that Congress intended to protect consumers but would allow disputed business transactions to be treated as consumer debt and placed on personal consumer credit reports.
- 88. Even where inapplicable, the U.S. Supreme Court in American Express Company v. Koerner 452 U.S. 233, 101 S.Ct. 2281 (1981) has found that although a credit card issuer is free to adopt its own procedures for business transactions, they are obliged to follow those established procedures. Id. At 241 On point, if Plaintiff's

- account was delinquent (for two years) as Defendant asserts, then AMEX should have followed its own established procedures as outlined on the AMEX Credit Agreement. Quite the opposite, AMEX called Plaintiff about unpaid charges, then acknowledged BMS late payments were at issue and AMEX never informed Plaintiff otherwise nor did they ever charge late fees as is their explicit policy.
- 89. Plaintiff does not allege a billing error; the issue at point is liability and thus Plaintiff falls under the protection of the appropriate provisions of the Fair Credit Reporting Act. Regulation Z, 46 Fed.Reg. 20847 and the statement accompanying the revision indicates that "cards issued for non-consumer credit purposes are covered only by the provisions regarding credit card issuance and liability." *Id*. At 244 FN10
- 90. All attempts by Plaintiff to properly assign the liability for the \$1,219 occurred after his employment relationship with BMS had ended. All damages occurred to Plaintiff in his capacity of a consumer.
- 91. 15 U.S.C § 1681s-2 to provide a private cause of action for consumers against furnishers of information such as AMEX. Under 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(B)(I) "A person shall not furnish information relating to a consumer to any consumer reporting agency if the person has been notified by the consumer... that specific information is inaccurate." This applies to Plaintiff because the initiation of the charges are not in dispute, rather this case hinges on a determination as to liability for those charges.
- 92. Failure to comply with the requirements of § 1681s-2 subjects furnisher of information liability for statutory and actual damages. 15 U.S.C. § 16810

- 93. Where not exempted, Defendant AMEX is also subject to the provisions of M.G.L. c 93 § 54A and the relief provided therein.
- 94. AMEX was in violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act and M.G.L. c.93 § 54A when on March 10, March 12, March 17, March 21, and March 26, 2005 Defendant contacted and attempted to collect the disputed debt despite knowledge that the matter was in litigation. (See Exhibit 6)

### COUNT V – INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH AN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP

- 95. Immediately after leaving the employ of BMS, Plaintiff went to work for Astra Zeneca acting in essentially the same capacity that he had with BMS. BMS knew or should have known that Gachago would continue to work in the capacity for which he was skilled and consequently, he would be working with a competitor of BMS.
- 96. Similarly, from past experience, BMS knew or should have known that AMEX was going to pursue Gachago personally (see Amended Pleadings paragraph 78) and if not satisfied, AMEX would report this disputed liability on Plaintiff's personal credit report.
- 97. A poor credit report can interfere with a future employment relationship either by effecting the initial employment decision (one of the accepted purposes of consumer credit reports) and/or by hampering the use of a new company credit card and its intended volume generating "promotional" purpose.

Case 1:05-cv-10141-RGS Document 24/4 File 0 10/03/2005 Page 6 of 21

Phil A. Rodriguez

Attorney and Counselor at Law 38 North Ferry Street Schenectady, New York 12305 Telephone: {518} 346-7275 Facsimile: {518} 372-5071

{Service by fax not accepted}

September 15, 2005

Christopher R. Donato, Esq. Assistant U.S. Attorney 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200 Boston, MA 02210

RE: USA v. Mark Feinberg Court #: 02-11917-RGS Civil Action

Dear Mr. Donato,

As you know I am now representing Mark Feinberg, in reference to the above matter. His previous attorney Richard DiStefano has been suspended from the practice of Law as a result of financial problems involving clients funds.

At one time there was an agreement to settle this matter for \$ 65,000.00. Mark Feinberg was in agreement and gave the funds to Mr. DiStefano to conclude the settlement. Unfortunately, the funds were never transferred from Mr. DiStefano's office to the U.S Attorney's office and unbeknownst to Mr. Feinberg communications ceased.

Presently, the total recovery by the United States, between the voluntary payment and garnishments, is \$58,000.00. The documents that were turned over to me by Mr. DiStefano included a Motion which appears to be still pending.

We are anxious and prepared to resolve this matter without further litigation. Mark Feinberg should not be further punished financially for his prior attorney's shortcomings.

If necessary I am willing to come to Boston and attend a conference with you and the Court and finally bring this matter to a conclusion that is fair to the Federal Government and Mr. Feinberg.

Very truly yours,

PHIL A. RODRIGUEZ

PAR/kap

CC: Nancy Rojas

Clerk of U.S. District Attorney



- 98. Plaintiff was harmed by BMS' interference since due to the hold on his new Astra Zeneca credit card, Plaintiff's employment performance and business promotional objectives were restricted to the use of limited personal resources.
- 99. Similarly AMEX is also liable to Plaintiff for subsequent harm, since AMEX specifically knew of Plaintiff's employment relationship with Astra Zeneca when AMEX reached beyond Gachago's personal credit standing and put a hold on Gachago's new employer's joint liability Corporate American Express card.

### **DAMAGES**

- 100. Due to the unlawful actions of BMS and AMEX, Plaintiff not only suffered a business employment disadvantage, but also he suffered the embarrassment and financial hardship caused by the adverse delinquency notice on his personal consumer credit report.
- 101. Plaintiff had no knowledge that AMEX had reported the delinquency to the consumer reporting agencies until the day he was turned down for a refinance loan on November 4, 2005. Gachago was refinancing to extract some of his home's equity to 1) make a down-payment on a home in Florida they were purchasing at pre-construction prices and 2) to consummate a Foreign Investment.
- 102. Since Gachago was not able to refinance, he was not able to purchase the home at pre-construction prices. There was no opportunity to mitigate the damage caused by the subsequent delay and lose of post-construction equity -\$35,000.
- 103. Plaintiff has a responsibility to mitigate his damages, however Gachago had no notice that his consumer report was tarnished until after he made plans and

- applied for a home refinancing. In furtherance, Plaintiff asserts that his obligation to mitigate should not include a requirement to pay off someone else's debt.
- 104. Plaintiff filed suit pro se in November and hired an attorney on April 19, 2005. Shortly thereafter council asked AMEX to voluntarily remove the debt from Plaintiff's credit until litigation could be resolved. Defendant refused and Plaintiff filed a preliminary injunction on May 5, 2005.
- 105. Other than paying off a debt that Plaintiff believed belonged to BMS, Plaintiff had no way to mitigate damages other than not borrowing any money for any reason.
- 106. However, the need to get on with life does not stop and Mr. and Mrs. Gachago still had to complete arrangements to relocate to Florida. Plaintiff went ahead and purchased a home, though due to financial restraints, it was a less desirable home (i.e., lower priced) and Plaintiff is now straddled with a 30 year 6.9% variable rate mortgage as opposed to the desired 4.5% fixed rate.
- 107. Over the term of the 30 year term of the mortgage, the higher 6.9% versus 4.5% interest rate will cost Plaintiff \$155,865. Even if Plaintiff only lives in the home for ten years until his children finish high school, the additional interest will cost \$51,955.20.
- 108. Plaintiff is currently re-financing his home at 8.6% (due to his poor credit rating) and is trying to salvage the Foreign Investment which is expected to yield \$378,000 in profits but the initial professional fees investment of \$7,500 is already lost.

- 109. Using only the lowest estimated damages as underlined, Plaintiff has had \$94,455.20 in actual damages. At the higher end, damages are \$198,365; with an additional potential profit of \$378,000 from the Foreign Investment.
- 110. All claims as to damages will be clarified through the discovery process and will be verified to the Court at trial.
- with no recourse but to either pay off a debt that is rightfully BMS' liability or to suffer a damaging credit report. Plaintiff has acted with due diligence to properly assign liability for the disputed charges and his time and aggravation should at least equal the foreseeable damage that he has suffered due to the willful and/or the negligent actions of the Defendants who held a far superior bargaining position during the entire contractual and post employment periods.

Case 1:05-cv-10141-RGS Document 24-4 Filed 10/03/2005 Page 11 of 21

J3/2005 16:42 FAX 6173711061

87/88/2002 18:27

4018613525

JOHN GACHAGO

Ø 0 3/003 PALE 01

Please read and sign the agreement sheet below and fax to the Travel Card Administrator at 609/419-7641. Your AMEX card will not be released to you matil it is received.

### AMERICAN EXPRESS CORPORATE CHARGE CARD PROGRAM

### AGREEMENT:

- L The Applicant and Bristol-Myers Soulbb through its authorizing officer,
- (a) request that a Card be issued to the Applicant on the Company's account,
- (b) authorize the receipt and exchange of credit information about both the Applicant and the Company,
- (c) agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of the Agreement(s) received with each Card ("Agreement").
- II. The individual Applicant.
- (a) authorizes American Express to notify the Company if American Express decides to decline this application.
- (b) agrees to use the Card issued in connection with a business account opened in the Company's pame,
- (c) agrees to use the Card issued for pushees purposes only, and
- (d) agrees to be liable for payment of all charges to the Card in accordance with the terms of the Agreement. To the extent that Company guarantees or pays any charges on behalf of the individual Applicant, and such charges are found to have been incurred for personal / non-business purposes, the individual Applicant will be liable to Company for payment of all such personal, non-business charges and will pay Company immediately the amount of such charges. Should applicant not pay Company. applicant agrees that Bristol-Myers Souibb Company may withhold an amount equal to such charges from applicant's pay check, bonnis, and/or acverance payment.





### Agreement Between Corporate Cardmember and American Express Travel Related Services Company, Inc.

Welcome to American Express. Corporate Cardmembership

Read this Agreement thoroughly before you sign or use the enclosed

American Express P Corporate Card. By signing, using or accepting the

Corporate Card you will be agreeing with us to everything written bere. Note

use of the Corporate Card will be governed by this Agreement. If you do not

wish to be bound by this Agreement, cof the Corporate Card in half such

return the percents at 15 you do sign the Corporate Card, you should not use

it before the Valid date or their the expression date provide on the face of the

Corporate Card.

As you read this Agreement, remember that the words, you," your, or "Corporate Cardinamined mean hat person named on the caclosed Corporate Card. The wood Numbers Browns I", per, "our," and "us, refer to American Express Traver Scane Sorvers Charpers inc. The wood Company means the cashy in whose passed her? Propose C and Account is opened and whose name agreement of the Corporate Card and the pure and Art Cardinal Scane Card. A Card. See the Corporate Card and the pure and Art Card. A Card. See the Card Card. A Card. See the Card. A Card. See the Card. Se

All amounts charged to your account, including, without limitation, purchases, cash advances, travelers choque encashments, any annual Corporate Card fee and other fees will be called "Charges" in this Agreement. Charges also include any purchases in which you have evidenced an intent to incur a charge, regardless of whether you have signed a charge form.

### 4. Charges Made in Foreign Currencies

If you incur a Charge in a foreign currency, it will be converted into United States dollars on the date it is processed by us or our agents at a rate set by us based on an interbeak, lourist or (where required by law) official rate, increased in each instance up to 2%. This rate may differ from rates in effect on the date of your Charges. Amounts converted by common carriers, such as airlines, will be billed at rates the carriers use.

You as the Corporate Cardinember are responsible for all Charges billed to your account. All business Charges are to be reported to the Company for expense report processing in accordance with Company policy. All business Charges billed to the Corporate Card which are reimbursable by the Company will be paid by you or paid directly by the Company under the Company's expense procedures applicable to you. You, as the Corporate Cardinamber, are accountable for any reimbursements and agree to remit such funds to us promptly. This Agreement has no effect on such procedures or your right to

reimbursement or payment by the Company. To the extent that you, as the Corporate Carthocurber, fail to honor any of the obligations under this Agreement, we reserve the right to collect the amount of such Charges directly from you.

### 6. Payments

Psyment for all Charges is the immediately upon recept of the billing statement we mult to you. You must notify us immediately of any change in your billing address. You must pay in a U.S. contently, with a draft of a cac drawn on a U.S. bank and psyable in U.S. dollars, or with a negotiable instrument psyable in U.S. dollars and clearable through the U.S. banking subtract. If you doubt in a comment of the form your instrument psyable in U.S. dollars and clearable through the U.S. beaking system If we decide to accept payment made in some other form, your payment will not be credited until it is therefored into one of the formet described above. We may charge you say costs as inclusion converting your payment. If may payment made on your account it is the beayers this is a full amount. We may charge your account it is not converted by the law of your particulars. We may charge your account it is not your particulars.

We may accept that payments partial payments or may payment marked a law is not payment in the case of the case of the accept marked a law is not payments. In this is a being real amount it may payment without being a strong and made that Arrestment or under the law if we accept make a payment in the six of procures or under the law if we accept make a payment in the six of payments of under the law if we accept make a payment in the payment of under the law if you is not only your account in an acceptance of the payment in the payment of the payment in the payment in

### 7. Late Fees

We will stend you a billing statement at the end of each billing period (inservals of approximately one mouth). Each billing statement will identify a "Closing Date" which is the cutoff date we determine for such ding Charge and physicists for that billing period. If Charges on a billing statement remain impaid, we may assess a late fee. The amount of the late fee depends on the length of time your account has remained unpaid and the address to which your bill is sent. Late fees will accrue as follows, unless prohibited by applicable state law:

- A. If any amount totaling more than \$35.00 is unpaid for two billing perio s, then the late fee will be the greater of \$29 or 2.75% of all amounts upp it for at least one billing period; and
- B. If any amount totaling more than \$35,00 is unpaid for three or more bil in periods, then there will be an additional late fee of the greater of \$29 or 2.75% of all amounts unpaid for at least one billing period.

For the purpose of calculating late fees, we will disregard amounts owed for any annual Corporate Card fee or amounts to be repaid to us for insurance premiums. Late fees will not exceed the maximum allowed by law.

### 8. Problem with Goods and Services

If you have any questions, problems or disputes concerning the monthly state ment, you should contact us immediately and we will take all reasonable and appropriate steps to provide the information you request or to resolve your dispute. However, unless required by law, we are not responsible for any prob lems you have with any goods or services you charge on the Corporate Ca. 1, and, if you have a dispute with an establishment honoring the Corporate C. vi payment must be made and the dispute settled directly with the establishm at We will not be responsible if any establishment refuses to honor the Corpo at Card or for any other problems you may have with such establishment.

### **DUPLICATE COPY**

### Corporate Card Statement of Account

7

Sign-up For Online Statements

www.americanexpress.com/checkyourlill

Prepared For JOHN W GACHAGO BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB Account Number 3794-781209-61009

Clasing Date 04/29/04

Page 1 of 4

Previous Balance \$	New Charges \$	Other Debits \$	Payments \$	Other Credits \$	Balance Please Pay By Due \$ 05/15/04
2,244.36	1,209.35	0.00	1,858.24	0.00	1,595.47 For important informatio regarding your account refer to page 2.
					. 3

Your account is 30 days past due. Please submit all outstanding expenses to avoid possible suspension.

Contact us at www.americanexpress.com/checkyourbill or call Customer Service at 1-800-528-2122.

Activi	Date reflects either transaction or posting date		
Card Nu	mber 3794-781209-61009		Reference Code Amount
04/23/04	PROCEEDS OF EXPENSE VOUCHER EXPENSE REPORT # TEA100238408	04/23	06066000000 -1,858.2
04/01/04	GETTY 30344001 RANDOLPH GETTY GAS/MISC 091001000004 GAS/MISC 091001000004	MA 03/31/04	27.00
04/03/04	EXXONMOBIL5907783871EAST TAU PAY AT PUMP5907783871 PAY AT PUMP5907783871 ROC NUMBER WY88112	MA 04/02/04	10.00
04/06/04	EXXONMOBIL260971432ONEW BEDF PAY AT PUMP260971432O PAY AT PUMP260971432O ROC NUMBER QVB4403	MA 04/05/04	27.00
04/08/04	EXXONMOBIL2609626466PLYMOUTH PAY AT PUMP2609626466 PAY AT PUMP2609626466 ROC NUMBER LZ93313	MA 04/07/04	10.00
04/08/04	SUNOCO 0663548601RANDOLPH SUNOCO 0029453 009911449 0029453 009911449 ROC NUMBER FUEL/MISC	MA 04/08/04	8.00
04/09/04	EXXONMOBIL2609626466PLYMOUTH PAY AT PUMP2609626466 PAY AT PUMP2609626466	MA 04/08/04	11.1

Please fold on the perforation below, detach and return with your payment

ROC NUMBER

Continued on Page 3

**Payment Coupon** 

Account Number 3794-781209-61009 Please Pay By 05/15/04

Payable upon receipt in U.S. Dollars.

Please enter account number on all checks and correspondence.

JOHN W GACHAGO BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB 21 LINDEN PARK DR RANDOLPH MA

Mandalattallatatalalladilaadinabladbid

MA 02368-4703

Total Amount Due \$1,595.47 Checks or drafts must be drawn against banks located in the U.S.

Check here if address, telephone number, or e-mail address has changed. Note changes careverse side.

Mail Payment to:

AMERICAN EXPRESS

AMERICAN EXPRESS P.O. BOX 1270 NEWARK NJ 07101-1270

Hadaaladiilaaadhadadhadbadaadhd

0000379478120961009 000159547000120935 2944

LZ92858

### Case 1:05-cv-10141-RGS Page 14 of 21 DUPLICATE COPY Sign-up For Online Statements Corporate Card Statement of Account www.americanexpress.com/checkyourb ! Prepared For Account Number Closing Date JOHN W GACHAGO 3794-781209-61009 03/30/04 Page 1 of 5 **BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB** Balance Please Pay By Due \$ 04/15/04 Previous Balance \$ New Charges \$ Other Debits \$ Payments 5 Other Credits 5 1,044.71 1,827.77 0.00 628.12 0.00 2,244.36 For important information regarding your account refer to page 2. Your account is 30 days past due. Please submit all outstanding expenses to avoid possible suspension. Contact us at www.americanexpress.com/checkyourbill or call Customer Service at 1-800-528-2122. Date reflects either transaction or posting date Activity Amount 5 Card Number 3794-781209-61009 03/16/04 PROCEEDS OF EXPENSE VOUCHER 03/16 628.12 06066000000 EXPENSE REPORT # TEA100221029 EXXONMOBIL3401243310WYOMING 26.44 03/01/04 RΙ 02/29/04 PAY AT PUMP3401243310 PAY AT PUMP3401243310 ROC NUMBER UB92785 EXXONMOBIL3401343243HYANNIS 31.00 03/03/04 MA PAY AT PUMP3401343243 03/02/04 PAY AT PUMP3401343243 ROC NUMBER M9U4222 0012137608HANOVER MA 29.37 03/05/04 SUNOCO 03/04/04 006536916 SUNOCO 0048718 006536916 0048718 ROC NUMBER FUEL/MISC 25.03 03/10/04 30344001 RANDOLPH MA GETTY GAS/MISC 069001000005 03/09/04 **GETTY** GAS/MISC 069001000005 24.50 03/12/04 **GETTY** 30344001 RANDOLPH GAS/MISC 071001000010 03/11/04 GETTY 071001000010 GAS/MISC 03/16/04 EXXONMOBIL5903410420BRAINTRE 25.90 MA PAY AT PUMP5903410420 03/15/04 PAY AT PUMP5903410420 ROC NUMBER SZ29176 Continued on Page 3 Please fold on the perforation below, detach and return with your payment Please Pay By 04/15/04 Payable upon receipt in U.S. Dollars. Account Number 3794-781209-61009 **Payment Coupon** Please enter account number on all checks and correspondence. JOHN W GACHAGO Checks or drafts must be **Total Amount Due** BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB drawn against banks \$2,244.36 21 LINDEN PARK DR located in the U.S. 02368-4703 RANDOLPH Check here if address, telephone number, or !!!......!...!...!!...!!!.....!!....!!...!!...!! e-mail address has changed. Note changes (1) reverse side. Mail Payment to: AMERICAN EXPRESS P.O. BOX 1270 NEWARK NJ 07101-1270

		DUPLIC/	ATE COPY	_		
	Corporate Card					up For Online tatements
	Statement of Accou	nt			www.america	nexpress.com/checkyour ill
	GACHAGO MYERS SQUIBB	3794-78120	uni Number 9-61009	Closing Date 02/29/04		Page 1 of 4
Previous 1,	Balance \$ New Charges \$ 0 755.27 451.68	The second secon	Payments \$ ,162.24	Other Credits \$	Due \$	Please Pay By 03/16/04 For important informatic 1 regarding your account refer to page 2.
Your acco	ount is 30 days past due. Plea	ase submit all ou	tstanding exp	enses to avoid	f possible s	uspension.
Contact u	is at www.americanexpress.co	om/checkyourbill	or call Custo	mer Service at	1-800-528	-2122.
Activit	Date reflects either transaction or posting date					
Card Nu	mber 3794-781209-61009			Reference Code		Amount ;
02/13/04	PROCEEDS OF EXPENSE VOUG EXPENSE REPORT # TEATOO EXXONMOBIL7504216404STOU PAY AT PUMP750 PAY AT PUMP7504216 ROC NUMBER QH35	197857 JGHTO J4216404 02/	MA 16/04	9696699000		-1,162.2 2 25.0
02/18/04	86 MAZZEO DRIVE RT 1RANI SHELL OIL 27540382705044 27540382705049383 ROC NUMBER 0108019	OOLPH 9383040745 02/ 040745	MA 17/04			25.2
02/23/04	SUNOCO 0663548601RANI SUNOCO 0019543 005 0019543 0054314 ROC NUMBER FUEL/M	5431455 02/ 455	MA 22/04			25.4
02/24/04	1808 WASHINGTON STREHAN SHELL OIL 1110522017905 11105220179055205 ROC NUMBER 010963	5 <b>205001</b> 591 02/ 001591	MA 23/04			29.0
02/24/04	86 MAZZEO DRIVE RT 1RANI SHELL OIL 2754038270505 275403827050553770 ROC NUMBER 0117960	5377053321 02/ 053321	MA 23/04			8.01
02/25/04	CUMBERLAND FARMS 213DAR SERVICE STA400 SERVICE STA405612 ROC NUMBER 593	5612180203 02/ 180203	MA 24/04	00000524944		12.4!
Please fold o	on the perforation below, detach and return with your	payment 🛊				Continued on Page 3
Paymer	nt Coupon		Account Number 209-61009	Ple	ase Pay By 03/16/04	Payable upon receipt in U.S. Dollars.
						Please enter account number on all checks an correspondence.
	JOHN W GACHAGO BRISTOL-MYERS SO 21 LINDEN PARK I RANDOLPH	DR	8-4703	Total A	mount Due \$1,044.71	Checks or drafts must be drawn against banks located in the U.S.
	Mandallallalalalala					Check here if address, telephone number, or e-mail address has changed. Note changes in reverse side.
	Mail Payment to:	P.O. BO	N EXPRESS K 1270 NJ 07101-			
		Madadadi	laaallaallatd	المالمال الماللات	.11.1	
000	) 0 27947 <b>8</b> 120961009 0	0010447100	0045168	2 <b>.</b> 144		

### **DUPLICATE COPY**

### Corporate Card Statement of Account

### Sign-up For Online Statements

www.americanexpress.com/checkyourbi

						-
	BACHAGO MYERS SQUIBB	3794-78	Account Number 31209-61009	Closing Date 01/30/04		Page 1 of 3
Previous I	Balance \$ New Charges \$	Other Debits \$	Payments \$	Other Credits \$		Please Pay By 02/15/04
	947.67 800.88	27.71	0.00	20,99		For important information regarding your account refer to page 2.
	ount is 60 days past due.					
Activit	us at www.americanexpres		BOIR OF CALL CUS	tomer Service at		2122.
	mber 3794-781209-61009			Reference Code		Amount
12/31/03			MA 12/30/03	00000002665		22.70
01/06/04	EXXONMOBIL3407909427R PAY AT PUMP PAY AT PUMP3407	OCKLAND 23407909427	MA 01/05/04			23.86
01/07/04		AST TAU 5907783871 7783871 1781653	MA 01/06/04			1.6
1/08/04	H & M STATIONS CORP F OOO985900 SERVICE STA SERVICE STATION ROC NUMBER	TION	MA 01/07/04	00000985900		22.7
01/10/04	H & M STATIONS CORP F OOO881297 SERVICE STA SERVICE STATION ROC NUMBER	TION	MA 01/09/04	00000881297		22.1
01/12/04	SUNOCO 0663548601F SUNOCO 0010709 O010709 0012 ROC NUMBER FUEL	001209019 209019	MA 01/11/04			9.0
Please fold o	on the perforation below, detach and return with	n your payment				Continued on Page 3
Paymer	nt Coupon	3794	Account Number 1-781209-61009	Plea	se Pay By 02/15/04	Payable upon receipt in U.S. Dollars.
		_				Please enter account number on all checks ar correspondence.
	JOHN W GACHAG BRISTOL-MYERS 21 LINDEN PAR RANDOLPH	SQUIBB K DR	2368-4703	Total An	\$1 755 97	Checks or drafts must b drawn against banks located in the U.S.
	llhaaldallallalalala					Check here if address, telephone number, or e-mail address has changed. Note changes reverse side.
	Mail Payment to:	P.O.	CAN EXPRES BOX 1270 RK NJ 0710			
		Blada	IIIIIb.	ddadbalalala	1,1	



DOCUMENT:	EFFECTIVE DATE:	REVISION#
TE – Travel Card Administration	10/15/2003	
- External Procedure		REVISION DATE:
SUBJECT:		PAGE: 1
Auditing of Expense Reports & Car		

It is the employee's responsibility to audit their own reports and submit outstanding business charges.

The Travel Card Administrator will audit only T&E's and American Express statements for active employee's with credits, suspected abuse, or terminated employee's accounts. If the audit concludes there is abuse on the corporate card, your manager will be notified and the account suspended. Human Resources will be notified depending upon the extent of the abuse.

Below are tips on auditing American Express Accounts & T&E's:

Requests for T&E summaries and AMEX statements can be made to the Travel Card Administrator: MG-GBS-CORPORATE CARD ADMINISTRATION@bms.com

Employees can also access their accounts on-line with American Express. To sign up for this service go to https://home3.americanexpress.com/cards/registered.asp

- ✓ Match the AMEX statements with the T&E summaries, line for line, to determine what charges have not been accounted for.
- ✓ Verify that charges are valid. Contact AMEX at 800/528-2122 or on-line within 60 days if you are disputing the charge. AMEX will post a temporary credit until the charge is resolved. If you dispute the charge after 60 days, you will need to pay for the charge while AMEX is investigating it.
- ✓ Have cash advances been expensed? Have the cash advance fees been expensed. The fees do not show up in card data. Employee should check their AMEX statements for the fee amount.
- ✓ Are there any delinquency fees? If so, the employee is responsible for payment. AMEX will only remove delinquency fees if they have misposted a payment. AMEX will no longer remove delinquency fees for lost statements as the employee has the ability to check their accounts on-line.

Note: Delinquency fees are not reimbursable through the T&E system

- ✓ If you returned tickets to the travel agency check to make sure they been credited. Remember that non-refundable tickets cannot be credited and should be expensed even if they are not used.
- ✓ Have you purchased airline tickets in advance or have you prepaid a conference fee? If so, you are able to submit these charges prior to attending your meeting or conference.



DOCUMENT:	EFFECTIVE DATE:	REVISION#
TE – Travel Card Administration – External Procedure	10/15/2003	REVISION DATE:
SUBJECT:		PAGE: 2
Auditing of Expense Reports & Car		

- ✓ Have you expensed your AT&T calling card charges?
- ✓ Did you sign up for the AMEX Membership Rewards Program? If so, the \$75 fee is not reimbursable through BMS and does not appear in card data. The employee must pay this fee to AMEX.
- ✓ Are all of the T&E's that you submitted been approved by your manager and paid to your AMEX account? To check on the status of your T&E reports, please refer to the T&E Policy located at: <a href="http://onefss.bms.com/depts/express">http://onefss.bms.com/depts/express</a> te/index.html, Policies/Procedures, External, Policies, File Category: Travel & Entertainment, Checking Status of Expense Report.
- ✓ Did you mark a charge as non-reimbursable instead of reimbursable? If so, you will need to resubmit the charge as reimbursable.
- ✓ Did you apply a credit from a vendor to a T&E, but did not apply the original charge to a T&E? If so, you will need to apply the original charge.

Once you have reviewed your AMEX statements and T&E summaries and found the missing charge (s), submit them on an expense report. If you have older charges that have not been expensed, use the current date and note on the report the original date.

Check request payments to pay charge card accounts for active BMS employees is not acceptable and against company policy.

# 5

### **Main Identity**

From:

"Cathleen A Everk" <cathleen.everk@bms.com>

To:

"John Gachago" <jgachago84@comcast.net>

Cc:

<joeseph.deluca@bms.com>; <elaine.farinacci@bms.com>; <dinorah.william@bms.com>

Sent:

Friday, September 03, 2004 8:55 AM

Attach:

cathleen.everk.vcf

Subject:

Re: American Express Card# 3794-781209-61009-Former Employee J Gachago

I have contacted American Express to let them know of the situation. Unfortunately I have no con x as to whether your current card can be use. I do not have that kind of authority.

In the future, you will contact either Dinorah Williams or myself in writing. Joe Deluca should no : : contacted.

Cathy

John Gachago wrote:

Cathy

I am finally in receipt of your email regarding this Amex situation. Once I view the information you're sending I will figure out what needs to be done. In the meantime I would appreciate it if you called Amex and made them aware that we are in the process of resolving this matter and hence the need to take a hold off my current Amex card. As you can well imagine my current business reponsibilities are being adversley affected because of this hold.

I had left 2 messages for Joe Deluca on this matter but failed to hear back from him.

Once again yesterday I got a message from a Sandy at American Express saying they haven't heard from BMS. Her number is 866 505 6811. Despite the outrageous amount of time it may take I dont have a problem reconciling my statements if the hold on my current card is eliminated.

Kindly advise on this so I can proceed with the necessary action. As metioned previously when I left BMS I felt I did so with a very clean slate, including a final expense report. Needless to say it seems like we have a discrepancy that needs to be resolved. In my mind this ought to have been evident prior to my resignation from BMS. I have spoken to Dinorah Williams 609 897 4605 who mentioned she would be in touch with you.

X

I can be reached on 781 724 6254.

Regards

John Gachago

John

I heard back from Joe Deluca and you will need to reconcile your account. He stated that your final report was paid and that it will be your responsibility to file an expense report with those charges you had not expensed.

I will be sending your AMEX statements and T&E's. You will need to determine what charges have not been accounted for and then fill out the hard copy report that I will also

send. You can send it to me and I will forward it to Joe for approval.

You will receive the package tomorrow at your home.

Cathy

Mapleleaf-Rx Team wrote:

Dear Cathleen

Two days ago I got back from vacation only to find a message from American Express claiming I have an outstanding balance on the BMS corporate card.

I left BMS on May 3rd 2004 and as part or my checkout process I completed a final expense report. The same was submitted to my former DBM Joe Deluca whose number is 401 725 0550 @ 27 Hyde Ave, Pawtucket, Rt.

The final expense report would have accounted for all my BMS expenses. Consequently there should be a zero balance.

Kindly address this issue with Sandy at American Express 866 505 6811 as they seem to feel that I am responsible for BMS expenses. They have also put a hold on my Amex corp card with my current employer until this matter is resolved. From what I was made to understand by this Sandy person the BMS card was a limited liability account in that I was resposible for it. At no time did BMS make me aware that this corporate card was going to be my personal responsibility.

I may be reached on hme 781 961 9384 or mobile 781 724 6254 to confirm that this issue is resolved. Thank you for giving this your immediate attention.

### Regards

John Gachago 21 Linden Park Dr Randolph, MA 02368 3/31/2005

To: American Express Collection Dept (fax 402 384 5044) America Express Legal Counsel PO Box 6618 Omaha, NE 68105-0618.



### Subject: John Gachago vs Bristol Myers Squibb and American Express Case# 04CV2682 -Quincy District Court and Harassment by American Express **Collection Dept**

This is a formal request that you cease and desist from harassing me about the debt owed to you by Bristol Myers Squibb.

Your collection department has called to harass me on several occasions about this debt insisting that it is mine and that I should pay for it. The first time an individual by the name Tracy called on March 10<sup>th</sup> 2005 I explained to her it was under litigation and gave her the docket number yet the same collector and collection department continues to call and harass me and my family about a matter that is under litigation.

They have called me on the following days with the same harassing request:

03/12/05 - 10.26am

03/17/05 - 3.22 am

03/21/05 - 2.11 pm

03/26/05 - 9.33am

Please be advised that this is my final notice to you and this is a matter I will bring to the attention of the court. It is bad enough that this has had a profoundly negative impact on my credit that has prevented us from securing a mortgage with 3 lenders already.

Regards,

John Gachago

CC: Eve Slattery for Bristol Myers Squibb (by certified mail) Faxed and Mailed via certified mail on 3/31/05.